

**MINUTES**  
**CITY OF EDINA, MINNESOTA**  
**SPORTS DOME STUDY WORK GROUP**  
**MAYOR'S CONFERENCE ROOM**  
**Wednesday, August 31, 2011**  
**7:30 A.M.**

- I. CALL TO ORDER - Ann Kattreh called the meeting to order at 7:38 A.M.
- II. ROLL CALL - Answering roll call were Members Fronek, Hulbert, Jones and Jacobson  
Absent: Member Deeds  
Staff Present: Ann Kattreh and Scott Neal
- III. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA - Agenda was approved as written
- IV. ADOPTION OF CONSENT AGENDA
  - A. Approval of Minutes - Member Jones asked that verbiage be changed and mentioned that the Mission Statement was a Staff Draft proposal and that there was no discussion on the item.
- V. COMMUNITY COMMENT – Jeff Hill, Jim Walsh, Robert Dixon and Amy Hill were present. Jeff Hill (Creek Valley Road) reported a good feeling after the last Work Group meeting in regards to the willingness of the Group to start from scratch and not work from the proposal given to the City. Jim Walsh is concerned about the location of a potential facility. His house is by the lower High School fields, by the baseball field. His concerns are with parking, drop off and traffic with high school currently and as a potential dome site. If the school donates the land, is it easier to hide financials than if it is on City property and under City budgets. Robert Dixon would like a dome. He prefers the Braemar location over High School location. He is interested in looking into combining it with the golf dome.
- VI. REPORTS/RECOMMENDATIONS
  - A. Facility Inventory – Scott Neal – Discussion included our mission, which Scott recommended we break into three phases:
    - a. Inventory
    - b. Feasibility
    - c. LocationBased on inventory, usage and capacity, programming of new facility should be included. Edina Public Schools facilities and private facilities will be included in inventory.
  - B. Indoor Athletic Facility Blog – Scott Neal – <http://edinacitizenengagement.org>  
The Blog is a mechanism for collecting citizen input for people that can't attend meetings. Committee members are encouraged to post comments, stories and photos. We can post documents, explain challenges, solicit ideas and provide a narrative of progress.
  - C. Nominations and selection of Sports Dome Study Chair –Todd Fronek was appointed Chair

- D. Nomination and selection of Sports Dome Study Minutes-Taker – Ann Kattreh was requested to assume the duty of minutes-taker
- E. Determine permanent meeting schedule – Meetings will be held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Thursdays at 7:30 A.M. Due to the holiday next week, the next meeting will be on September 15<sup>th</sup>, followed by September 29<sup>th</sup>. October meetings will be October 13<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup>.
- F. Facility inventory update – Staff provided a preliminary report of parks and athletic fields for the work group to review.
- G. Work group milestones schedule with target dates- Staff will prepare a rough draft RFP for the work group to review with the goal of finalizing the RFP by the meeting on 9/29, or earlier if possible. Community needs will be discussed in October.
- H. Criteria for determining need – Work Group will complete a survey of potential users to assist in determining need.

VII. CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS – None noted

VIII. CHAIR AND WORK GROUP MEMBER COMMENTS – None noted

IX. ADJOURNMENT – Meeting adjourned at 9:15 A.M.